



Sh. Parmod Sharma,  
# 262-D, Rajguru Nagar,  
Ludhiana

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Superintendent, LG-2,  
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,  
Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o O/o Superintendent, LG-2,  
Local Govt, Sector-35-A,  
Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal case No.3280 of 2020**

**PRESENT: Sh.Parmod Sharma as the Appellant**  
**Sh.Sh.Sanjeev Kumar, PIO (LG-2) for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 17.09.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the applications of the applicant by Director and LG-II along with noting and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Superintendent, LG-2, Local Govt. Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.06.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case came up for hearing on 30.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that since the information sought by the appellant was not specific, the appellant was asked to vide letter dated 20.12.2029 to specify the information which was not specified.

The appellant claimed that the information has already been specified vide his letter dated 11.02.2020. The respondent had brought information regarding point number 5 and handed it over to the appellant. The respondent further informed that the information regarding point-6 relates to Trust Service Cell O/o Directorate Local Govt. Pb Chandigarh.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO was directed to provide the remaining information (pointwise) to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission. The PIO-Trust Service Cell, Directorate of Local Govt. Pb Chandigarh was impleaded in the case and directed to provide the information on point-6

**Hearing dated 08.07.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent from Trust Service Cell is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 03.05.2021 has informed that the information regarding point-6 has been sent to the appellant on 30.04.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

**Appeal case No.3280 of 2020**

The appellant has received the same but is not satisfied. Having gone through the RTI application, the Commission observes that point-6 of the RTI application is vague and ambiguous and cannot be treated as a valid request under the RTI Act.

The remaining information stands provided. No further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 08.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

CC to: PIO-Trust Service Cell,  
Directorate of Local Govt.Pb  
Sector 35, Chandigarh.

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
**Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandig**  
**Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in**  
**Visit us: - [www.infocommpunjab.com](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)**



Dr. Kumud Ghai,  
# 205-B, Model Town Extn,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o DEO (EE),  
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o DPI (EE),  
Phase-8, Mohali.

...Respondent

**Appeal case No.3314/2020 & 3313/2020**

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Major Singh, Legal Assistant for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 19.03.2020 has sought information regarding comments/correspondence from higher authorities on the applicant complaint dated 29.12.2019 filed to Secy School Education Punjab and on the civil writ petition filed by the applicant and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director NCERT Pb Chandigarh which transferred the RTI application u/s 6(3) to DEO(EE) Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 30.03.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information is available with the office of the Directorate of School Education since the complaint was filed with the Secretary of School Education.

The appellant was absent. The PIO-Directorate of School Education (EE) Punjab was impleaded in the case and directed to relook at the RTI application and reply appropriately.

Having gone through the record, the commission observed that the appellant had also filed a similar appeal case No.3313 of 2020 for seeking exactly the same information with the office of DEO(EE) Ludhiana, both the cases were clubbed together.

**Hearing dated 08.07.2021:**

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per the respondent, the information has been sent to the appellant on 18.06.2020.

The appellant is absent on two continuous occasions nor has communicated any discrepancies regarding the information that has been received.

The case is **disposed of and closed** for non-persuasion of the case by the appellant.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 08.07.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

CC to PIO-Directorate of School Education(EE) Pb  
Phase-VIII(Sector 62), SAS Nagar, Mohali.